

CDAF Task Team

Jonathan Mittaz

Prasanjit Dash, Jean-Francois Piolle

The CDAF

- Climate Data Assessment Framework
 - A CDR is "a time series of measurements of sufficient length, consistency and continuity to determine climate variability and change" (NRC, 2004), ideally traceable to SI standards.
 - The CDAF is intended to be used to support users of sea surface temperature (SST) datasets to understand the suitability of GHRSST datasets for use as Climate Data Records (CDRs).
 - Will provide authoritative, comparable information about GHRSST datasets that will allow users to make their own judgment about the use of the datasets as CDRs for their application.

Task Team

- Has been in existence for several years but other pressures/projects have meant that progress has been slow to date
- Progress has been made this year, particularly on the MMD side

Overview of the CDAF

 There are a number of sources of information that are needed Climate Data Assessment Framework

Basic screen

E.g.: dataset covers minimum ten years, consistently processed; GDS2 compliant data are archived and available

Generate assessment information and submit

I.e., provide complete information for climate data assessment by CDR-TAG and users, according to the CDAF

CDR-TAG review

Critical review of information, including clarifications and requests for revision if necessary

> Approval and publication of assessment information CDEF information is maintained in accessible location on GHRSST web site and with the dataset

CDAF Tool metrics

- Primarily to be used to provide information for section 2
 - Generate assessment information (Quantitative measures)
 - Systematic differences referenced to drifting buoys
 - Global median difference
 - Bin SST to buoy differences on a 10°x10° scale and estimate standard deviation of subsets (filter out bins with too few matches first)
 - Systematic differences referenced to Argo measurements
 - Global median difference
 - Bin SST to buoy differences on a 20°(lat)x90°(lon) scale and estimate standard deviation of subsets (filter out bins with too few matches first)
 - Non-Systematic effects
 - Calculate robust standard deviations after median values (including geographic variations) have been removed using above statistics
 - Stability
 - Use the GTMBA using simplified method from Merchant et al. (2012)
 - SST Sensitivity
 - Calculated SST sensitivities based on retrieval algorithm characteristics (provided by data provider)

Example of current output form

KEY DESCRIPTIVE FEATURES INFORMATION			drifting buoys	systematic effects as quantified by a robust
Period covered				standard deviation of differences of satellite and
Geographic range				drifting buoy data, after removing the geographical variations in differences quantified above
Spatial resolution			Stability	95% confidence interval for the relative multi year
Temporal resolution			Stability	trend between satellite SSTs and the Global
Timeliness of new data				Tropical Moored Buoy Array
Dataset volume			Sensitivity to true SST	Average weight of the satellite observations in
Valid data fraction				determining SSTs in the dataset, the difference from
Data level / grid				100% representing the weight of prior information
Observation technology				in the SSTs
Dependence on other da	ta		AVAILABILITY DOC'N FEEDRACK	
Type(s) of SST			Data URL / ftn / DOI	
Traceability			Brimary near reviewed reference	
Uncertainty info in product			Source of technical documents	
QUANTITATIVE MEASURES	VALUE	COMMENTS	Dataset restrictions	
Difference relative to		Global median difference of satellite minus drifting buoy SST, across full dataset. The satellite SSTs are SST _{skin} with no skin-effect adjustment, so a skin-	Facility for user feedback	
drifting buoys			Other documentation	
			OTHER PRINCIPLES (GCOS) COMMEN	VTS
		effect difference of order -0.2 K is to be expected.	2. and 12. Overlaps between	
Difference relative to		Global median difference of satellite minus upper	sensors exist and are exploited	
Argo		Argo float SST, across full dataset. The satellite SSTs are SST _{sin} with no skin-effect adjustment, so a skin-effect difference of order -0.2 K is to be	to narmonize the dataset	
			3. Detailed history of methods/	
		expected.	algorithms is available	
Geographical variation		Geographical variation in difference, as described	11. Constant sampling within	
in difference relative to		by the standard deviation of median satellite minus	diurnai cycle	
drifting buoys		drifting buoy SST differences on space scales of		
		$\sim 1000 \text{ km}$, across the full dataset.		
Geographical variation		Geographical variation in bias, as described by the		
in difference relative to		standard deviation of median satellite minus upper		
Argo measurements		Argo float SST differences on space scales of 20°		
		latitude by 90° longitude, across the full dataset.		
Dispersion relative to		Spread of differences associated with non-		

Workplan

- Get reference data
- Produce Matchups

Together with Matchup Task Team (Jean-Francois) Matchup production coordinate with Matchup TT Initial data produced

Create Statistics

• Web/Form output

As much as possible this will use already existing code/processes.

 Have looked at Meteo-France code (Thank you!) as a starting point

The current set of metrics are fairly simple

- Means/Medians
- Standard Deviation/Robust Standard Deviations
- Geographic binning code

Initial designs created (Prasanjit)

CDAF Match-Up Generation

Preliminary step of the CDAF Tool Workflow

felyx MMDB generation system is being upgraded to run both:

- in distributed mode (as currently) : runs on a cluster, intended for large scale and operational processing
- as an easily installable standalone running on a single machine: for local processing, testing and evaluation => easier to run and use in the context of the CDAF toolbox (but slower as using less computing resources)

Two sample datasets generated:

- using GTMBA moored buoy dataset from CCI SST project as in situ reference
- two candidate SST long time series
 - AVHRR L3C from CCI Project OSTIA L4 from CMEMS Ο
- available for testing and integration with the next steps in the workflow (metrics & report generation)

Matchup statistics

• An example of matchup data



Web Workflow Design

Sitemap: Climate Data Assessment Framework (CDAF) web



Action of menu items



Action of menus (2)

Wireframe: Climate Data Assessment Framework (CDAF) web



Next steps

- Continue tracking updates to felyx regarding processing mode
 - Data already available for next steps
 - standalone processing
 - Setup scripts to create matchups
- Modify/Write code to generate statistics
 - Leverage of other code where possible
 - Started thinking about this
 - Straightforward for many cases
 - Include mapping software for regional analysis
 - Stability analysis
- Setup initial interface
 - Design already in progress
 - Start working on interfaces/code